

Nan Wu,^{†,‡,⊥} Yuangang Zu,^{†,‡,⊥} Yujie Fu,^{*,†,‡} Yu Kong,^{†,‡} Jintong Zhao,^{†,‡} Xiaojuan Li,^{†,‡} Ji Li,^{†,‡} Michael Wink,[§] and Thomas Efferth^{II}

[†]Key Laboratory of Forest Plant Ecology, and [‡]Engineering Research Center of Forest Bio-Preparation, Ministry of Education, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin 150040, China, [§]Institute of Pharmacy and Molecular Biotechnology, Germany Heidelberg University, INF 364, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany, and ^{II}Department of Pharmaceutical Biology, Institute of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Mainz, 55099 Mainz. [⊥]These authors contributed equally to this work.

The antioxidant capacity and xanthine oxidase inhibitory effects of extracts and main polyphenolic compounds of *Geranium sibiricum* were studied in the present work. The antioxidant capacity was evaluated by ferric reducing antioxidant power, 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging, superoxide radical scavenging, nitric oxide scavenging, β -carotene–linoleic acid bleaching, and reducing power assays. Among the extracts and four fractions, the ethyl acetate fraction showed the highest phenolic content (425.36 ± 9.70 mg of gallic acid equivalent/g extracts) and the best antioxidant activity. The IC₅₀ values of the ethyl acetate fraction were 0.93, 3.32, 2.06, 2.66, and 1.64 μ g/mL in the DPPH radical scavenging, superoxide radical scavenging, nitric oxide scavenging, β -carotene–linoleic acid bleaching, and reducing power assays, respectively. Of the polyphenolic compounds separated from the ethyl acetate fraction, geraniin showed a higher activity than corilagin and gallic acid. The IC₅₀ values ranged from 0.87 to 2.53 μ M, which were even lower than the positive control (except for allopurinol). All test samples except for the petroleum ether fraction showed xanthine oxidase inhibitory effects. We conclude that *G. sibiricum* represents a valuable natural antioxidant source and is potentially applicable in the healthy food industry.

KEYWORDS: Geranium sibiricum L.; polyphenolic compounds; antioxidant activity; xanthine oxidase

INTRODUCTION

JOURNAL

D CHF

AGRICI JI TURAL AND

Geranium sibiricum L., which belongs to the family of Geraniaceae, is widely distributed in northeast China, Korea, Japan, and some European countries. It has been used for healing diarrhea, intestinal inflammation, eruptive skin disease, bacteria infection, and cancer in Korea and Bulgaria (1, 2). Tubers of G. sibiricum are used as food in Russia and Turkey (3, 4). A decoction of the roots of G. sibiricum is drunk to treat uterine cancer in Peru (5). In the folk medicine of China, G. sibiricum white spirit is used to treat rheumatoid arthritis. It is also used as a popular condiment for cooking meat, especially for chickens and ducks (6). Besides its main usage as food, the medical applications have provoked much interest. G. sibiricum has been used worldwide in folk medicine for healing intestinal inflammation, dermatitis, diarrhea, and cancer (7). Chemical constituent investigations indicated that it is rich in polyphenolic compounds, which have been considered as being responsible for the beneficial efficacies on human health (8). As the main substances of G. sibiricum, geraniin, corilagin, and gallic acid showed remarkable pharmacological activities including antiviral, hepatoprotective, and antihypertensive activities, etc. (9-11). Moreover, Sokmen et al. (12) demonstrated that the extracts of *Geranium sanguineum* (Geraniaceae) possessed strong antioxidant activity, which encouraged us to search for more antioxidants in the Geraniaceae family.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) include superoxide anion radicals $(O_2^{\bullet-})$, hydroxyl radicals ($^{\bullet}OH$), and peroxyl radicals (ROO[•]), etc. They are continuously generated during aerobic metabolism and exogenous sources such as UV radiation or environmental pollution. ROS are mainly produced by mitochondrial oxidative metabolism and cytochrome P450 systems in hepatocytes. However, when the ROS production is greater than the detoxification capacity of the cell, excessive ROS cause oxidative stress and even induce extensive damage to DNA, proteins, and lipids (13). It had been confirmed that uric acid, which causes gout, is formed from xanthine in the presence of xanthine oxidase (XOD). $O_2^{\bullet-}$, generated upon the formation of uric acid, has been found to cause oxidative damage of living tissues (14). Fortunately, antioxidants may help the body to protect itself from various types of oxidative damage, which are linked to diseases such as cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular disorders, and aging (15). Recently, searching for antioxidants from plants has been of major interest. However, scientific studies on

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +86-451-82190535. Fax: +86-451-82190535. E-mail: yujie_fu2002@yahoo.com.

antioxidant properties of wild plants are still rather scarce. The assessment of antioxidant activity of wild plants remains an interesting and useful task for finding new sources of natural antioxidants (16).

To the best of our knowledge, the antioxidant properties and XOD inhibitory effects of *G. sibiricum* extracts have not been evaluated yet. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the antioxidant properties of aqueous and ethanol extracts of *G. sibiricum*, different fractions of the ethanol extracts as well as three main polyphenolic components, namely, geraniin, corilagin, and gallic acid, by ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging, superoxide radical scavenging, nitric oxide (NO) scavenging, β -carotene–linoleic acid bleaching, and reducing power assays. Moreover, XOD inhibitory effects were further investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. DPPH, β -carotene, linoleic acid, 1,3,5-tri(2-pyridyl)-2,4,6triazine (TPTZ), lipopolysaccharide (LPS), Folin–Ciocalteu reagent, allopurinol, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), Tween 40, dimethyl sulfoximine (DMSO), and XOD were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Silica gel was purchased from Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd. (Qingdao, China). Sephadex LH-20 for column chromatography was purchased from GE Healthcare (Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden). High-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade acetonitrile was purchased from J&K Chemical Ltd. (Beijing, China). Other reagents and chemicals including petroleum ether, ethyl acetate (EtOAc), *n*-butanol, and methanol were of analytical grade and purchased from Beijing Chemical Reagents Co. (Beijing, China). Deionized water was produced by a Millipore Direct-Q purification system (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA).

Plant Materials. The plant *G. sibiricum* L. (Geraniaceae) was collected from the Botanical Garden of Northeast Forestry University and authenticated by Prof. Shaoquan Nie from the Key Laboratory of Forest Plant Ecology, Ministry of Education, Northeast Forestry University, People's Republic of China. A specimen (#054001010017001) was deposited in the Herbarium of this Key Laboratory. The materials were dried in the shade at room temperature.

Extraction, Fractionation, and Separation of Polyphenolic Compounds. The plant materials were ground into powder before use. The aqueous extracts were obtained as follows: Fifty grams of samples was refluxed by 400 mL of boiling water for 2 h, and the solvent was filtered and freeze-dried to obtain the extract powder (yield, 10.31%, w/w). For comparison, the samples were also soaked three times with 50% ethanol for 5 days at room temperature. The combined 50% ethanol extract was filtered through Whatman #2 filters and concentrated in vacuo to yield dried 50% ethanol extracts (yield, 15.80%, w/w). To obtain the fractions of polyphenolic compounds, the 50% ethanol extracts (150.0 g) were suspended in distilled water and partitioned successively with petroleum ether, EtOAc, and n-butanol. All of the extracts were concentrated in vacuo to obtain four fractions: petroleum ether fraction (3.81 g; yield, 2.54%), EtOAc fraction (32.60 g; yield, 21.73%), *n*-butanol fraction (35.82 g; yield, 33.13%), and aqueous residues (water fraction, 63.52 g; yield, 42.33%). The EtOAc fraction (30 g) was applied to column chromatography on silica gel (5 cm \times 25 cm, 200–300 mesh, 180 g) with a stepwise gradient from 0 to 100% MeOH in EtOAc, resulting in 10 subfractions. Subfraction 2 (15% MeOH in EtOAc) was further separated on a Sephadex LH-20 column (2.5 cm \times 60 cm, mobile phase 40% MeOH in water) combined with crystallization to derive compounds 1 (corilagin, 29.68 mg), 2 (geraniin, 8.73 mg), and 3 (gallic acid, 31.47 mg), respectively. Structures were assigned by comparing their spectroscopic data with literature (17, 18).

HPLC Analysis of Corilagin, Geraniin, and Gallic Acid. Analytical HPLC was performed on a Jasco HPLC system (Jasco Inc., Easton, MD). All samples of *G. sibiricum* extracts were filtered through 0.25 μ m filters before they were analyzed. They were separated on a HIQ Sil C18 V reversed-phase column (250 mm × 4.6 mm i.d., Kya Tech, Hachioji City, Japan). For the HPLC detection, the wavelength was 220 nm on the basis of full-wavelength scanning results. The mobile phase was acetonitrile water (15/85, v/v). The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min, and the column temperature was kept at 30 °C. The retention times of gallic acid, corilagin, and geraniin were 3.9, 10.0, and 12.8 min, respectively. Those chromatographic peaks were confirmed with reference compounds in retention time and MS results.

Total Phenolic Content. Total phenolics were determined by using the Folin–Ciocalteu method (19) with little modification. Briefly, $40 \,\mu$ L of samples (0.05 mg/mL) was mixed with 1.8 mL of 10-fold-diluted Folin– Ciocalteu reagent. The mixture was allowed to stand for 5 min at room temperature. Then, the reaction was neutralized with 1.2 mL of saturated sodium carbonate (7.5%). The absorbance of the resulting blue color was measured at 765 nm with a UV–vis spectrophotometer (UNICO, Shanghai, China) after incubation for 90 min at room temperature. Quantification was done on the basis of the standard curve of gallic acid. Results were expressed in g gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/g extracts.

Total Antioxidant Capacity by FRAP Assay. The total antioxidant capacity of extracts and four fractions was determined by a total antioxidant capacity assay kit with the FRAP method (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, China). Plant extracts (5 μ L) were allowed to react with 200 μ L of the FRAP solution for 5 min at 37 °C in the dark condition. Readings of the colored product (ferrous tripyridyltriazine complex) were taken at 593 nm. The standard curve was linear between 0.15 and 1.5 mM FeSO₄. Results are expressed in mM Fe(II)/g extracts and compared with those of ascorbic acid.

DPPH Radical Scavenging Assay. The ability of the extracts to scavenge DPPH free radicals was determined according to the method of Sokmen et al. (12) with little modification. Briefly, 10 μ L of the sample (final concentrations were 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 25, 50, and 100 μ g/mL, respectively) was mixed with ethanol (90 μ L) and then added to 0.004% DPPH (200 μ L) in ethanol. The mixture was vigorously shaken and then immediately placed in a UV–vis spectrophotometer (UNICO) to monitor the decrease in absorbance at 517 nm. Monitoring was continued for 70 min until the reaction reached a plateau. Ascorbic acid (Sigma–Aldrich), a stable antioxidant, was used as a synthetic reference. Pure ethanol was used as a control sample. The radical scavenging activities of samples, expressed as a percentage inhibition of DPPH, were calculated according to the formula: inhibition percentage (Ip) = [(AB – AA)/AB] × 100, where AB and AA are the absorbance values of the blank sample and of the tested samples checked after 70 min, respectively.

Superoxide Radical Scavenging Assay [Nitroblue Tetrazolium Chloride (NBT) Assay]. Superoxide radicals were generated by a modified method of Wang et al. (20). All solutions were prepared in 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Twenty microliters of the test samples (final concentrations were 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 50, and 100 μ g/mL), 180 μ L of 0.6 mM NBT in buffer, and 20 μ L of 3 mM hypoxanthine in 50 mM KOH were mixed in 96-well microplates. The reaction was started by adding 20 μ L of XOD in buffer (1 unit in 10 mL of buffer) to the mixture. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min, and the absorbance at 560 nm was determined using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay reader (UNICO, United States). Trolox was used as a positive control. Three replicates were made for each test sample. The percent inhibition ratio (%) was calculated according to the following equation: % inhibition = [(rate of control reaction – rate of sample reaction)/rate of control reaction] × 100.

NO Scavenging Activity. The method of Wang et al. (20) was used to assay the scavenging activity of extracts or compounds on NO. The reaction solution (1 mL) containing 10 mM sodium nitroprusside in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.0) was mixed with extracts or compounds (final concentrations were 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 50, and 100 μ g/mL) followed by incubation at 37 °C for 1 h. A 0.5 mL aliquot was then mixed with 0.5 mL of Griess reagent. The absorbance at 540 nm was measured. The percent inhibition of NO generated was measured by comparison with the absorbance value of the negative control (10 mM sodium nitroprusside and PBS). Ascorbic acid was used as the positive control.

β-Carotene–Linoleic Acid Test. The antioxidant activity of the samples was determined using the β-carotene–linoleic acid test (21). Approximately 10 mg of β-carotene (type I, synthetic) was dissolved in chloroform (10 mL). The carotene–chloroform solution (0.2 mL) was pipetted into a boiling flask containing linoleic acid (20 mg) and 200 mg of Tween 40. Chloroform was removed using a rotary evaporator

Article

(RE-52AA) at 40 °C for 5 min, and distilled water (50 mL) was added to the residue slowly with vigorous agitation to form an emulsion. A portion of the emulsion (5 mL) was added to a tube containing 0.2 mL of sample solution (final concentrations were 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 50, and 100 µg/mL), and the absorbance was immediately measured at 470 nm against a blank, consisting of an emulsion without β -carotene. The tubes were placed in a water bath at 50 °C, and the oxidation of the emulsion was monitored spectrophotometrically by measuring the absorbance at 470 nm over a 60 min period. Control samples contained 200 µL of water instead. BHT, a stable antioxidant, was used as a synthetic reference. The antioxidant activity was expressed as inhibition percentage with reference to the control after a 60 min incubation using the following equation: AA =100(DRC - DRS)/DRC, where AA = antioxidant activity, DRC = degradation rate of the control = $[\ln(a/b)/60]$, DRS = degradation rate in presence of the sample = $[\ln(a/b)/60]$, a = absorbance at time 0, and b =absorbance at 60 min.

Reduce Power Assay. The reducing power of all test samples was determined by the method prescribed by Wang et al. (20) with some modification. Briefly, 0.5 mL of ethanol containing different concentrations of sample (final concentrations were 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 50, and $100 \,\mu g/mL$) was mixed with 0.5 mL of phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6) and 0.5 mL of potassium ferricyanide (1%). The reaction mixture was incubated at 50 °C for 20 min. After incubation, 0.5 mL of trichloroacetic acid (10%) was added and centrifuged (650g) for 10 min. From the upper layer, 0.5 mL of solution was mixed with 0.5 mL of distilled water and 0.1 mL of FeCl₃ (0.1%). The absorbance of all sample solutions was measured at 700 nm. An increased absorbance indicated increased reducing power.

Determination of XOD Inhibitory Activity. The measurement of the XOD inhibitory activity was carried out according to the method of Wang et al. (20) with slight modifications. First, 798 μ L of 0.1 unit XOD in buffer (200 mM sodium pyrophosphate/HCl, pH 7.5) and 2 μ L (500, 250, 50, 25, 5, and 2.5 μ g/mL) of the test extracts or compounds in DMSO were mixed at 37 °C for 5 min. The control group contained no test agent. The reaction was started by adding 200 μ L of 0.6 mM xanthine in double-distilled water to the mixture. The reaction mixture was incubated at ambient temperature. Finally, the absorption increments at 295 nm, which indicated the formation of uric acid, were determined every min up to 8 min. Allopurinol was used as a positive control. Three replicates were made for each test sample. The percent inhibition ratio (%) was calculated according to the following equation: % inhibition = [(rate of control reaction] × 100.

Statistical Analysis. All results are expressed as mean values \pm standard deviations (SDs) (n = 3). The significance of difference was calculated by one-way analysis of variance, and values p < 0.01 were considered to be significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Because different antioxidant compounds may act through different mechanisms, no single method can fully evaluate the total antioxidant capacity. For this reason, studying the complex antioxidant activities often uses a multimethod approach (22). Radical scavenging activities are very important due to the deleterious role of free radicals in biological systems. The effects of antioxidants on DPPH radical, superoxide radical, and NO scavenging are actual electron transfer-based assays, which measure the capacity of an antioxidant to reduce an oxidant, resulting in a color change. The models of these radical scavenging assays are widely used for evaluating antioxidant activity in a relatively short time (23). Besides electron transfer, a hydrogen atom transfer reaction is another antioxidant capacity-based assay. Hydrogen atom transfer reaction-based assays are methods in which antioxidant and substrate compete for thermally generated ROO[•] through the decomposition of azo compounds. These assays include β -carotene bleaching, inhibition of lowdensity lipoprotein oxidation assays, etc. (24). In the β -carotene bleaching assay, the antioxidant capacity was determined by measuring the inhibition of the volatile organic compounds and the conjugated diene hydroperoxides arising from the oxidation of linoleic acid (25). The reducing power assay (ferricyanide



Figure 1. Contents of total phenolic (**A**), total antioxidant capacity by the FRAP assay (**B**), and correlation between total phenolic content and total antioxidant capacity (**C**) of the extracts and four fractions of *G. sibiricum* (n = 3). The symbols * indicate significant differences p < 0.01 with respect to the positive control (ascorbic acid).

method) measures the amount of peroxide produced during the initial stages of oxidation, which is the primary product of oxidation (24). Therefore, a comparison of different methods could help the investigators to comprehend the antioxidant activity of samples deeply and completely. In the present work, FRAP, DPPH radical scavenging, superoxide radical scavenging, NO scavenging, β -carotene—linoleic acid bleaching, and reducing power assays were used to study the antioxidant activities of extracts obtained from *G. sibiricum* adequately.

Total Phenolic Contents and Total Antioxidant Capacity by FRAP Assay of *G. sibiricum* Extracts. The total phenolic contents and total antioxidant capacity by FRAP assay of aqueous and ethanol extracts as well as petroleum ether, EtOAc, *n*-butanol, and water fractions from *G. sibiricum* are shown in Figure 1. As shown in Figure 1A, the content of phenolic compounds in the EtOAc fraction of *G. sibiricum* was more $(425.36 \pm 9.71 \text{ mg GAE}/$ g extracts) than those of aqueous extracts $(169.46 \pm 6.78 \text{ mg})$ GAE/g extracts), ethanol extracts $(218.39 \pm 3.55 \text{ mg GAE}/\text{g})$ extracts), petroleum ether $(130.78 \pm 5.24 \text{ mg GAE}/\text{g})$ extracts), and water

Table 1. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity, NO Scavenging Activity, Superoxide Radical Scavenging Activity, β-Carotene–Linoleic Acid Bleaching Activity, Reducing Power, and XOD Inhibitory Activity of the Extracts and Four Fractions of *G. sibiricum*^a

sample	IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)							
	DPPH	superoxide radical (NBT)	NO	β -carotene—linoleic acid	reducing power ^b	XOD inhibition		
aqueous extracts	2.92 D	6.34 D	6.11 C	4.58 E	6.17 C	266.14 D		
ethanol extracts	2.46 E	5.18 E	4.58 D	4.01 E	5.79 D	342.27 B		
petroleum ether fraction	48.34 A	91.66 A	58.43 A	70.16 A	66.20 A	>500 A		
EtOAc fraction	0.93 G	3.32 F	2.06 F	2.66 F	1.64 F	198.85 E		
n-butanol fraction	1.37 F	3.35 F	2.75 E	7.02 D	2.14 E	314.02 C		
water fraction	18.33 B	29.71 B	24.32 B	39.87 B	25.59 B	321.39 C		
positive control	9.5 C (ascorbic acid)	21.54 C (Trolox)	1.03 G (ascorbic acid)	10.47 C (BHT)	0.96 G (Trolox)	1.72 F (allopurinol)		

^a The letters (A–F) indicate significant differences at a significance level of p < 0.01. ^b The IC₅₀ value (µg/mL) of reducing power assay is the effective concentration at which the absorbance was 0.5 for reducing power.



Figure 2. Chemical structures of the main polyphenolic compounds isolated from the EtOAc fraction of *G. sibiricum* (A, geraniin; B, gallic acid; and C, corilagin).

fractions (68.03 \pm 1.49 mg GAE/g extracts) (p < 0.01). The phenolic content of the ethanol extracts (218.39 \pm 3.55 mg GAE/g extracts) was higher than the reported contents of some other Geraniaceae species with antioxidant activities, such as methanol extracts of *Geranium macrorrhizum* (25.9 \pm 0.2 mg GAE/g extracts) (34). The phenolic contents in extracts of *G. sibiricum* were also higher than those of many other plant species with well-known antioxidant activities, such as 16 varieties of *Helichrysum methanolic* extracts (ranged from 66.74 to 160.63 mg GAE/g extracts) and 54 different extracts of nine Asteraceae plants (ranged from 2.9 \pm 0.1 to 404.3 \pm 27.3 mg GAE/g extracts) (26, 27).

The FRAP assay was often used to measure the reduction of ferric iron (Fe³⁺) to ferrous iron (Fe²⁺) in the presence of antioxidants. This assay was commonly used for the analysis of total antioxidant activity of plant extracts (28). The reducing ability of the EtOAc fraction [17.76 \pm 0.21 mmol Fe(II)/g extracts] and *n*-butanol fraction [13.22 \pm 0.21 mmol Fe(II)/g extracts] was higher than the other test extracts and fractions (**Figure 1B**). The FRAP values of EtOAc and *n*-butanol fractions were significantly higher than those of ascorbic acid [11.38 \pm 0.31 mmol Fe(II)/g extracts].

A positive linear correlation between the total antioxidant activity (FRAP assay) and the phenolic content was observed (Figure 1C). The high correlation coefficient ($R^2 = 0.9473$) indicated that phenolics were one of the main components responsible for the antioxidant behavior of *G. sibiricum*. This statistically significant correlation was in agreement with the findings of Oktay et al. (19), who also found a strong relationship between the antioxidant capacity and the phenolic content.

Antioxidant Activities and XOD Inhibitory Effects of Extracts and Fractions from *G. sibiricum*. As shown in Table 1, among all extracts and fractions, the EtOAc fraction showed the best antioxidant activities. The IC₅₀ values of the EtOAc fraction were 0.93, 3.32, and 2.06 μ g/mL against DPPH radical, superoxide radical, and NO radical, and the IC₅₀ values were 2.66 and 1.64 μ g/mL in the β -carotene–linoleic acid and reducing power assays. Furthermore, IC₅₀ values of different positive controls (ascorbic acid, Trolox, and BHT) were 9.5, 21.54, and 10.47 μ g/mL in the DPPH, superoxide radical, and β -carotene-linoleic acid assays, which were higher than those of EtOAc and *n*-butanol fractions.

Until now, only a few authors have reported the antioxidant activities of plants of the Geraniaceae family. Some extracts showed stronger $O_2^{\bullet-}$ scavenging abilities than G. sibiricum, for example, the EtOAc fraction from the MeOH extract of G. sanguineum (IC₅₀ value of 2.95 μ g/mL) (p < 0.01). Meanwhile, more extracts showed much weaker antioxidant abilities, for example, MeOH extracts of G. sanguineum in the DPPH and superoxide radical scavenging assays (IC₅₀ values of 13.86 and 26.0 μ g/mL, respectively). Furthermore, the radical scavenging ability of 2.5 mg/mL MeOH extracts of G. macrorrhizum was only 91.7%, which was much lower than that of ethanol extracts of G. sibiricum at 100 μ g/mL. Similarly, the inhibition ratio of 100 μ g/mL ethanol extracts of G. sibiricum (97.48%) was much higher than 2 mg/mL MeOH extracts of G. sanguineum (88–89%) in the β -carotene–linoleic acid test system (p < 0.01) (12, 25). Comparing these data, we conclude that G. sibiricum has a high potential for applications as a natural antioxidant for human health.

In the XOD inhibition test, the EtOAc fraction showed the strongest inhibitory effects (IC₅₀ value of 198.85 μ g/mL). However, it was still weaker than that of allopurinol (IC₅₀ value of 1.72 μ g/mL). It was quite interesting that both aqueous extracts (IC₅₀ value of 266.14 μ g/mL) and the water fraction (IC₅₀ value of 321.39 μ g/mL) showed a stronger XOD inhibition activity than ethanol extracts (IC₅₀ value of 342.27 μ g/mL) (p < 0.01). This result was contrary to that of the superoxide radical scavenging assay in the present study.

There were some reports indicating that the strength of inhibition of XOD among the polyphenolic was considerably different

Article

from that of the inhibitory effects on the $O_2^{\bullet^-}$ generation from a hypoxanthine-XOD system. Some compounds (e.g., cornusiin A and epigallocatechin), which strongly inhibited the generation of $O_2^{\bullet^-}$, showed weak inhibition of XOD, while some other compounds (e.g., ellagic acid), which weakly inhibited $O_2^{\bullet^-}$ generation, strongly inhibited XOD (29). It may be concluded that the inhibition of $O_2^{\bullet^-}$ generation by *G. sibiricum* extracts was due to the radical scavenging activity of polyphenolic compounds instead of their inhibitory activity upon XOD (14).

In general, the EtOAc fraction of *G. sibiricum* extracts showed a higher phenolic content, better antioxidant activity, and stronger inhibition activity toward XOD. This implies that there were abundant antioxidant compounds in the EtOAc fraction. Thus, the EtOAc fraction was further investigated in this study to isolate polyphenolic compounds.

Isolation and Identification of Main Polyphenolic Compounds from G. sibiricum Extracts. Three main polyphenolic compounds were purified from the EtOAc fraction of G. sibiricum. Their structures were identified by using IR, ¹H and ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), and MS analysis. As shown in Figure 2, three main polyphenolic compounds were determined as geraniin (A), gallic acid (B), and corilagin (C). As shown in Table 2, none of these three polyphenolic compounds were found in the petroleum ether fraction. The content of corilagin in the *n*-butanol fraction was higher than that in all of the other five test samples. Overall, the EtOAc fraction was found to be rich in all of these three components, with geraniin (15.32 \pm 0.36 mg/g extracts), gallic acid (13.9 \pm 0.33 mg/g extracts), and corilagin $(1.82 \pm 0.17 \text{ mg/g extracts})$. It could be inferred from our results that there was a positive correlation between the contents of these three compounds and the antioxidant activity. The higher activity of the EtOAc fraction could be attributed to the higher contents of geraniin, gallic acid, and corilagin.

Antioxidant Activities and XOD Inhibitory Activities of Main Polyphenolic Components from *G. sibiricum* Extracts. As shown in Table 3 and Figure 3, the DPPH free radical scavenging activity of geraniin was superior to those of corilagin, gallic acid, and the positive control, ascorbic acid. The IC₅₀ values were 0.99, 2.25, 7.44, and 46.06 μ M, respectively. The activities of geraniin, corilagin, and gallic acid found in the superoxide radical scavenging

Table 2. Contents of Main Polyphenolic Components in the Extracts and Four Fractions of *G. sibiricum* $(n = 3)^a$

	cor	contents (mg/g extracts)		
sample	geraniin	gallic acid	corilagin	
aqueous extracts ethanol extracts petroleum ether fraction	$\begin{array}{c} 6.93 \pm 0.21 \\ 7.52 \pm 0.47 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.27 \pm 0.26 \\ 3.82 \pm 0.20 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.68 \pm 0.44 \\ 1.73 \pm 0.19 \end{array}$	
EtOAc fraction <i>n</i> -butanol fraction water fraction	$\begin{array}{c} 15.32 \pm 0.36 \\ 9.35 \pm 0.28 \\ 0.12 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.9 \pm 0.33 \\ 0.4 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.2 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.82 \pm 0.17 \\ 2.69 \pm 0.12 \\ 0.49 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	

 a Values are means \pm SD of three determinations. Data in the same column indicate significant differences (p < 0.01).

assay (NBT assay) were similar to those of the DPPH assay. Geraniin exhibited the best superoxide scavenging activity, with a IC₅₀ value of 2.53 μ M, which was even lower than that of Trolox (46.08 μ M). Geraniin (1.32 μ M) also showed a stronger NO scavenging activity than corilagin, gallic acid, and ascorbic acid. As for β -carotene–linoleic acid assay, the activities of these polyphenolic components can be ranked as geraniin > corilagin > gallic acid > BHT (p < 0.01). In the reducing power assay, this order was changed to geraniin = Trolox > corilagin > gallic acid (p < 0.01). All of these results indicated that geraniin had the best antioxidant activity, even better than three positive controls (ascorbic acid, Trolox, and BHT). Moreover, at the concentration of 100 μ M, the inhibition ratios of geraniin against DPPH, superoxide radical, NO, and β -carotene–linoleic acid were all above 100% (**Figure 3**).

Some polyphenolic compounds with chemical structures capable of capturing free radicals possess superior antioxidant activity as compared to known antioxidants, such as vitamins A and E. Moreover, polyphenolic compounds are rich in hydroxyl groups, which could readily stabilize ROS (*30*). As shown in **Figure 2**, there are plenty of hydroxyl groups that exist in geraniin, corilagin, and gallic acid. The hydroxyl groups could easily inactivate radicals and finally lead to strong antioxidant activities of these compounds.

It has been reported that polymeric polyphenolics have a higher antioxidant activity than simple monomeric phenolics because of the high molecular weights, the proximity of many aromatic rings, and the hydroxyl groups (31). The strong antioxidant activity of geraniin obtained in the present study indicates that geraniin was the major antioxidant in *G. sibiricum* extracts.

In contrast to the antioxidant activities, gallic acid showed a better XOD inhibitory activity than geraniin and corilagin, with IC₅₀ values of 105.41, 129.88, and 222.89 μ M, respectively. However, all of the compounds showed weaker XOD inhibition than allopurinol (8.95 μ M).

As a powerful inhibitor of XOD, the structure of allopurinol is similar to xanthine. Allopurinol could be oxidized to give oxyallopurinol, which binds tightly to the active site of XOD and causes inhibition. Hence, allopurinol has been called a "suicide substrate" or "suicide product" of XOD (32). It is interesting to note that the chemical structure of gallic acid has some analogies to the structure of the A ring of xanthine and uric acid. Therefore, like allopurinol, gallic acid may act as a suicide substrate for XOD. On the other hand, the chemical structures of gallic acid include three hydroxyls and one carboxyl. When it comes in the protein pocket, it may act as a plug and efficiently inhibits uric acid formation. Different from gallic acid, the structure of corilagin and geraniin seemed too bulky, and the existence of glycosyl groups and galloyl groups may prevent the compounds from contacting XOD, finally resulting in weaker XOD inhibitory activities. Similar findings were reported by Chang et al. (33) and Nagno et al. (34).

Hatano et al. (29) studied the XOD inhibitory activity of low molecular weight polyphenols (ellagic acid, valoneic acid

Table 3. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity, Superoxide Radical Scavenging Activity, NO Scavenging Activity, β-Carotene–Linoleic Acid Bleaching Activity, Reducing Power, and XOD Inhibitory Activity of Main Polyphenolics Isolated from the EtOAc Fraction of *G. sibiricum^a*

	IC ₅₀ (µM)						
compound	DPPH	superoxide radical (NBT)	NO	β -carotene $-$ linoleic acid	reducing power	XOD inhibition	
geraniin	0.99 D	2.53 D	1.32 D	1.50 D	0.87 C	129.88 B	
corilagin gallic acid	2.25 C	14.27 C 38 85 B	7.2 B	7.97 C	2.68 B 7.52 A	222.89 A	
positive control	46.06 A (ascorbic acid)	46.08 A (Trolox)	3.47 C (ascorbic acid)	47.97 A (BHT)	0.88 C (Trolox)	8.95 D (allopurinol)	

^aThe letters (A-F) indicate significant differences at a significance level of p < 0.01.



Figure 3. Antioxidant activities of three main components (geraniin, corilagin, and gallic acid) in *G. sibiricum*. Values of each curve are means \pm SDs (*n* = 3); *p* < 0.01.

dilactone, and gallic acid, etc.) and some ellagitannins (e.g., corilagin, gemin D, casuarinin, and geraniin). Gallic acid showed a stronger XOD inhibitory activity than geraniin and corilagin, which was in accordance with our results. However, the IC_{50} value of gallic acid (24μ M) in their test was lower than that in our assay (105.41 μ M). Besides the solvent and method, the content of samples in the mixture could account for this difference of activity. Taking into account the above results, we conclude that gallic acid could be used as a preventive and therapeutic agent for XOD-related diseases, hyperuricemia, gout, etc (*33*).

In conclusion, the results in the present study provide strong evidence for the antioxidant activities of G. *sibiricum*. Therefore, geraniin, corilagin, and gallic acid obtained from the EtOAc fraction of G. *sibiricum* extracts may be developed as natural antioxidants for the food industry and other fields. Further studies on the antioxidant activity in vivo are needed to support this point of view.

LITERATURE CITED

- Shim, J. U.; Oh, P. S.; Lim, K. T. Anti-inflammatory activity of ethanol extract from *Geranium sibiricum* Linne. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 2009, *126*, 90–95.
- (2) Serkedjieva, J.; Ivancheva, S. Antiherpes virus activity of extracts from the medicinal plant *Geranium sanguineum* L. J. Ethnopharmacol. 1999, 64, 59–68.
- (3) Şöhretoğlu, D.; Ekizoğlu, M.; Özalp, M.; Sakar, M. K. Free radical scavenging and antimicrobial activities of some *Geranium* species. *H. U. J. Fac. Pharm.* 2008, 28, 115–124.
- (4) Nikolaevich, G.; Jurievna, E.; Alexeevna, O.; Ivanovna, N.; Mikhailovich, J.; Romanovna, E.; Alexandrovich, G.; Ivanovich, V.; Eduardovna, E.; Ivanovna, R. Substance in the form of an aqueous extract of vegetal raw material for treating oncological diseases and method for producing the same. European Patent Application. WO 98/24458 (11.06.1998 Gazette 1998/23).
- (5) Hammond, G. B.; Fernández, I. D.; Villegas, L. F.; Vaisberg, A. J. A survey of traditional medicinal plants from the Callejón de Huaylas, Department of Ancash, Perú. J. Ethnopharmacol. 1998, 61, 17–30.

- (6) Yin, H. B. Pharmacognosy research of Herba Geranii in the northeast of China. Ph.D. Thesis, Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, August, 1997.
- (7) Lee, S. R.; Yoon, E. S.; Shin, S. C.; Lee, S. C. Screening for antitumor efficacy from the wild plants in Korea (II). J. Orient. Bot. Resour. 1993, 6, 25–32.
- (8) Shim, J. U.; Lim, K. T. Anti-oxidative and anti-proliferative character of glycoprotein isolated from *Geranium sibiricum Linne* in Chang liver cells. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 2008, *26*, 320–324.
- (9) Cheng, J. T.; Lin, T. C.; Hsu, F. L. Antihypertensive effect of corilagin in the rat. *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **1995**, *73*, 1425–1429.
- (10) Kinoshita, S.; Inoue, Y.; Nakama, S.; Ichiba, T.; Aniya, Y. Antioxidant and hepatoprotective actions of medicinal herb, *Terminalia catappa* L. from Okinawa Island and its tannin corilagin. *Phytomedicine* **2007**, *14*, 755–762.
- (11) Uozaki, M.; Yamasaki, H.; Katsuyama, Y.; Higuchi, M.; Higuti, T.; Koyama, A. H. Antiviral effect of octyl gallate against DNA and RNA viruses. *Antiviral Res.* 2007, 73, 85–91.
- (12) Sokmen, M.; Angelova, M.; Krumova, E.; Pashova, S.; Ivancheva, S.; Sokmen, A.; Serkedjieva, J. In vitro antioxidant activity of polyphenol extracts with antiviral properties from *Geranium sanguineum* L. *Life Sci.* 2005, *76*, 2981–2993.
- (13) Simonian, N. A.; Coyle, J. T. Oxidative stress in neurodegenerative diseases. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 1996, 36, 83–106.
- (14) Hatano, T.; Edamatsu, R.; Hiramatsu, M.; Mori, A.; Fujita, Y.; Yoshida, T.; Okuda, T. Effects of interaction of tannins with co-existing substances. VI: Effects of tannins and related polyphenols on superoxide anion radical, and on 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 2016–2021.
- (15) Halliwell, B. Reactive oxygen species in living systems: Source, biochemistry, and role in human disease. Am. J. Med. 1991, 91, S14–S22.
- (16) Karagözler, A. A.; Erdag, B.; Emek, Y. C.; Uygun, D. A. Antioxidant activity and proline content of leaf extracts from *Dorystoechas hastate*. *Food Chem.* **2008**, *111*, 400–407.
- (17) Tabata, H.; Katsube, T.; Tsuma, T.; Ohta, Y.; Imawaka, N.; Utsumi, T. Isolation and evaluation of the radical-scavenging activity of the antioxidants in the leaves of an edible plant, *Mallotus japonicus*. *Food Chem.* 2008, 109, 64–71.

- (18) Wang, H.; Provan, G. J.; Helliwell, K. Determination of hamamelitannin, catechins and gallic acid in witch hazel bark, twig and leaf by HPLC. J. Pharm. Biomed. 2003, 33, 539–544.
- (19) Oktay, M.; Gulcin, I.; Kufrevioglu, O. I. Determination of in vitro antioxidant activity of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) seed extracts. *Lebens.-Wiss. Technol.* 2003, *36*, 263–271.
- (20) Wang, Z. H.; Hsu, C. C.; Yin, M. C. Antioxidative characteristics of aqueous and ethanol extracts of glossy privet fruit. *Food Chem.* 2009, *112*, 914–918.
- (21) Gullcin, I. Antioxidant activity of caffeic acid (3,4-dihydroxycinnamic acid). *Toxicology* **2006**, *217*, 213–220.
- (22) Huang, D.; Ou, B.; Prior, R. L. The chemistry behind antioxidant capacity assays—Reviews. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53, 1841–1856.
- (23) Kamiloğlu, O.; Ercisli, S.; Şengül, M.; Toplu, C.; Serçe, S. Total phenolics and antioxidant activity of jujube (*Zizyphus jujube* Mill.) genotypes selected from Turkey. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 2009, *8*, 303–307.
- (24) Kaur, C.; Kapoor, H. C. Anti-oxidant activity and total phenolic content of some Asian vegetables. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2002, 37, 153–161.
- (25) Miliauskas, G.; Venskutonisa, P. R.; Beek, T. A. Screening of radical scavenging activity of some medicinal and aromatic plant extracts. *Food Chem.* 2004, 85, 231–237.
- (26) Halvorsen, B. L.; Holte, K.; Myhrstad, M. C. W.; Barikmo, I.; Hvattum, E.; Remberg, S. F; Wold, A. B.; Haffner, K.; Baugerod, H.; Andersen, L. F.; Jacobs, D. R.; Blomhoff, R. A systematic screening of total antioxidants in dietary plants. *J. Nutr.* 2002, *132*, 461–471.
- (27) Albayrak, S.; Aksoy, A.; Sagdic, O.; Hamzaoglu, E. Compositions, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of *Helichrysum* (Asteraceae) species collected from Turkey. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *119*, 114–122.
- (28) Parejo, I.; Viladomat, F.; Bastida, J.; Rosas-Romero, A.; Saavedra, G.; Murcia, M. A.; Jiménez, A. M.; Codina, C. Investigation of Bolivian plant extracts for their radical scavenging activity and antioxidant activity. *Life Sci.* 2003, *73*, 1667–1681.

- (29) Hatano, T.; Yasuhara, T.; Yoshihara, R.; Agata, I.; Noro, T.; Okuda, T. Effects of interaction of tannins with co-existing substances. VII: Inhibitory effects of tannins and related polyphenols on xanthine oxidase. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1990**, *38*, 1224–1229.
- (30) Sabuncuoglu, S.; Sohretoglu, D.; Koray Sakar, M.; Ozgunes, H. Antioxidant activity of polyphenolic compounds and extracts from *Geranium purpureum. Toxicol. Lett.* 2008, *180S*, S32–S246.
- (31) Hagerman, A. E.; Riedl, K. M.; Jones, G. A.; Sovik, K. N.; Ritchard, N. T.; Hartzfeld, P. W.; Riechel, T. L. High molecular weight plant polyphenolics (tannins) as biological antioxidants. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1998**, *46*, 1887–1892.
- (32) Lin, J. K.; Chen, P. C.; Ho, C. T.; Lin-Shiau, S. Y. Inhibition of xanthine oxidase and suppression of intracellular reactive oxygen species in HL-60 cells by theaflavin-3,3'-digallate, (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate, and propyl Gallate. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2000, 48, 2736–2743.
- (33) Chang, W. S.; Lee, Y. J.; Lu, F. J.; Chiang, H. C. Inhibitory effects of flavonoids on xanthine oxidase. *Anticancer Res.* 1993, 13, 2165– 2170.
- (34) Nagno, A.; Seki, M.; Kobayachi, H. Inhibition of xanthine oxidase by flavonoids. *Biosci.*, *Biotechnol.*, *Biochem.* 1999, 63, 1787–1790.

Received for review December 30, 2009. Revised manuscript received February 24, 2010. Accepted February 25, 2010. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (30770231), Heilongjiang Province Science Foundation for Excellent Youths (JC200704), Agricultural Science and Technology Achievements Transformation Fund Program (2009GB23600514), Key Project of Chinese Ministry of Education (108049), Innovative Program for Importation of International Advanced Agricultural Science and Technology, National Forestry Bureau (2006-4-75), and Key Program for Science and Technology Development of Harbin (2009AA3BS083).